

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF
MANUFACTURERS; CHAMBER OF
COMMERCE OF THE UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA; and BUSINESS
ROUNDTABLE,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND
EXCHANGE COMMISSION,**

Defendant,

**AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL USA;
AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL LTD.,**

Intervenor-Defendants.

Civil Action No. 13-cv-635 (RLW)

ORDER

On May 2, 2013, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit transferred this case to the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, and the matter was assigned to the undersigned. Upon a preliminary review of the case file transmitted from the Court of Appeals, it is hereby,

ORDERED that, upon receipt, counsel for Plaintiff National Association of Manufacturers shall promptly serve a copy of this Order on counsel for all other parties and participants who appeared in this case while pending in the U.S. Court of Appeals, including counsel for all participating amici; it is,

FURTHER ORDERED that all amici who, pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 29(a), previously filed briefs in the U.S. Court of Appeals are hereby permitted to participate as amici in these proceedings without the need to seek any further leave of court; it is,

FURTHER ORDERED that, by no later than May 17, 2013, counsel for all parties and any participating amici shall ensure that they have entered a proper notice of appearance in this Court, and that said appearance is accurately reflected on the Court's electronic docket; it is,

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall meet and confer and shall submit, by no later than May 22, 2013, at 5:00 PM, a joint status report addressing the following issues:

1. Whether Plaintiffs are required to file a civil complaint in this case pursuant to Rule 3 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or whether the Amended Petition for Review filed with the U.S. Court of Appeals on October 22, 2012, can (and should) serve as the operative pleading in this matter;
2. Whether Defendants and Intervenor-Defendants are required to file any responsive pleadings in this matter;
3. Whether the parties believe that any additional or amended briefing is necessary, or whether the parties believe that the Court should construe the merits briefs filed with the U.S. Court of Appeals as cross-motions for summary judgment and decide this case based on those papers. If the parties intend to request any additional or amended briefing, they should set forth a proposed schedule in the joint report;
4. A proposed deadline to separately file or lodge a copy of the applicable administrative record with the Clerk of Court; and
5. Any other scheduling issues or pertinent matters the parties wish to raise with the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall appear for a Status Conference on May 28, 2013, at 9:30 AM, in Courtroom 27A. To the extent that the parties are in agreement on the above-listed issues and collectively believe that a Status Conference will not be necessary, the parties can so indicate in the joint status report and the Court will consider vacating the Status Conference.

SO ORDERED.

Date: May 6, 2013

ROBERT L. WILKINS
United States District Judge